CONTINUUM-BASED MODELLING OF RECYCLED AGGREGATE CONCRETE
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Abstract. The increasing use of Recycled Aggregate Concretes (RACs) in the last years due to the adverse environmental impact caused by manufacturing processes in the construction sector, makes imperative the formulation of theoretical and numerical constitutive models to effectively predict the mechanical behavior of materials and structures, to characterize the brittle or ductile failure modes at different loading regimes of such new buildings. In this work a thermodynamically consistent non-local gradient and fracture energy-based plasticity theory applicable to standard concrete at the final hardened state is extended to simulate the mechanical behavior of concretes with variable content of recycled aggregates. The predictive capabilities of the proposed constitutive formulation for RACs are verified against experimental results of tests performed at the Materials and Structures Laboratory of the University of Salerno. Both the experimental results and the theoretical formulations proposed in this paper stem out from the interuniversity collaboration developed within the framework of the European Project EnCoR (www.encore-fp7.unisa.it).
1 INTRODUCTION

The construction sector is characterized by a considerable demand for energy and raw materials. It is estimated that the greenhouse gas emissions corresponding to the construction industry represent about ten percent of the total and the half corresponds to the cement production. The design of integrated recycling processes aimed at reducing wastes and producing new "eco-friendly" materials becomes of particular interest to enhance the sustainability of the construction sector [Proske et al. (2013)].

The increasing use of recycled aggregate concretes in the last years makes imperative the formulation of theoretical and numerical constitutive models to effectively predict the mechanical behaviour of novel green materials and structures, as well as characterize the brittle or ductile failure modes at different loading regimes. For this purpose and to accurately reproduce the entire spectrum of possible failure modes (i.e. the continuous transition from brittle to ductile failure behavior), different theoretical frameworks need to be combined within one single formulation. Within the framework of the Smeared Crack Approach (SCA), brittle materials require dissipative formulations based on fracture mechanics concepts (Bazant and Oh (1983), Etse and Willam (1994), Carpinteri et al. (1997), Comi and Perego (2001), Duan et al. (2007), Meschke and Dumstorf (2007)). On the other hand, the simulation of mechanical degradation processes of ductile materials require non-local theories which appropriately and objectively describe the development of shear bands during loading processes beyond their limit strengths.

In this framework, it can be taken as reference, a.o., the works by Belytschko and Lasry (1989), Vardoulakis and Aifantis (1991), Svedberg and Runesson (1997), Simone et al. (2003), Chen et al. (2004), Peerlings et al. (2004), Vrech and Etse (2007), Vrech and Etse (2009), Vrech and Etse (2012).

In this work the thermodynamically consistent non-local gradient and fracture energy-based Leon-Drucker Prager constitutive theory, originally formulated for natural concretes in Vrech and Etse (2009), is now extended to simulate the mechanical behaviour of concretes with variable content of recycled aggregates. This model is based on non-local gradient and fracture energy-based concepts and follows the thermodynamically consistent gradient plasticity theory by Svedberg and Runesson (1997).

The predictive capabilities of the proposed constitutive formulation for RACs are verified against experimental results corresponding to different relevant stress states, especially under compressive regime, performed at the Laboratory of Materials testing and Structures of the University of Salerno, already published by Lima et al. (2013).

2 LEON-DRUCKER PRAGER STRENGTH CRITERION FOR CONCRETE

The maximum strength criterion of the proposed constitutive model is obtained by combining the parabolic description of the compressive meridian by Leon (1935), with the Drucker-Prager circular deviatoric shape. The equation of the so-called Leon Ducker Prager (LDP) criterion for concrete is

\[ F(p^*, \rho^*) = \frac{3}{2} \rho^* + m_0 \left( \frac{\rho^*}{\sqrt{6}} + p^* \right) - c_0 = 0 \]  

expressed in terms of the normalized first and second Haigh-Westergaard stress coordinates

\[ p^* = \frac{I_1}{3f_c^*} ; \quad \rho^* = \frac{\sqrt{2J_2}}{f_c^*} \]  

(2)
being $f_c'$ the uniaxial compressive strength and $J_1$ and $J_2$ the first and second invariants of the stress and deviatoric stress tensors, respectively. The calibration of the cohesive and frictional parameters $c_0$ and $m_0$ in terms of $f'_c$ and the uniaxial tensile strength $f'_t$, leads to the following relationships

$$c_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m_0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(f'_c)^2 - (f'_t)^2}{f'_c f'_t}.$$  

(3)

2.1 Yield surfaces of the LDP

The yield surfaces of the proposed model in the hardening and softening regimes are encompassed by one single equation as follows

$$F(p^*, \rho^*, K_h, K_s) = \frac{3}{2} \rho^* + m_0 \left( \frac{\rho^*}{\sqrt{6}} + p^* \right) - K_h K_s = 0$$  

(4)

being $K_h$ and $K_s$ the hardening and softening dissipative stresses, respectively.

![Figure 1: Decreasing elasticity modules for variable $R_A$.](image)

2.2 Plastic potential

A non-associated plastic flow is adopted to accurately describe the inelastic volumetric concrete behaviour. The plastic potential corresponding to the LDP model is based on a volumetric modification of the yield condition

$$Q = F(p^*, \rho^*, K_h, K_s) + m_0 p^*(\eta - 1) = 0$$  

(5)

being $\eta$ the non-associativity (hydrostatic) parameter that varies between $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. The case of $\eta = 0$ corresponds to the plastic flow without dilatancy while $\eta = 1$ results in the associated flow rule.
2.3 Pre-peak hardening regime

The post-elastic response is controlled through the evolution of the hardening dissipative stresses defined as

$$K_h = K_h^0 + 0.9\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_h}{x_h}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

being $K_h^0$ its initial value and $\varepsilon_h$ the equivalent plastic strain computed as

$$\varepsilon_h = \|\mathbf{m}\| \lambda , \hspace{0.5cm} \|\mathbf{m}\| = \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma} : \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma}\right)^{1/2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $\lambda$ represents the plastic parameter and $\mathbf{m}$ the gradient tensor of the plastic potential. Then, $x_h$ is the hardening ductility parameter defined in terms of the normalized confining pressure $p^*$ as

$$x_h = A_h \exp\left(B_h p^*\right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

being $A_h$ and $B_h$ internal parameters to be calibrated by means of triaxial compression tests under low and high confinement.

2.4 Post-peak softening regime

Softening behavior of quasi-brittle materials like concrete is related to deformation processes under increasing inhomogeneities. The evolution and size of these heterogeneities, which define the characteristic length of the zone where the energy dissipation takes place, strongly depends on the particular boundary value problem and, therefore, cannot be treated as a material property.

In the present formulation, the instantaneous concrete strength in softening regime is obtained by means of a parallel mechanism between two components: (i) the first one directly connected with the development of micro- or macro-fracture processes, and (ii) the second one related to the damage processes in the material zones located in between active cracks.
The considered mechanism controlling the strength degradation process in the post-peak regime can be mathematically expressed in terms of the dissipative stress in softening $K_s$ and the thermodynamically consistent state variable $\kappa_s$ (coincident with the plastic parameter $\lambda$). Particularly, the following relationship is considered

$$K_s(\kappa_s, \nabla \kappa_s) = K_{sf}(\kappa_s) + K_{sc}(\nabla \kappa_s)$$

being $K_{sf}$ the local or fracture energy-based dissipative stress and $K_{sc}$ the non-local gradient one. A thorough and detailed description of the softening formulation can be found in Vrech and Etse (2009) and is herein omitted for the sake of brevity.

### 3 LDP CONSTITUTIVE MODEL EXTENDED TO RAC

The original LDP model formulation above described is extended with the aim to predict the failure behaviour of RACs with variable content of recycled aggregates in partial to total substitution of natural ones. Recycled aggregates in concrete significantly affect the original mechanical properties at both fresh and hardened state. Regarding the hardened state, the characteristic mechanical behaviour observed during the experimental programs performed at the Laboratory of Materials testing and Structures of the University of Salerno, considering variable contents of coarse aggregate replacements (30%, 60% and 100%) demonstrate that the replacement of natural aggregates with recycled ones affects in first place the uniaxial compressive strength, an essential property of concrete at hardened state. Another essential feature is the reduction of pre and post-peak ductility with increasing RA content. Regarding these typical properties, the extended LDP model must be able to numerically reproduce RACs failure and its mechanical behaviour.

#### 3.1 Elastic regime

According to Corinaldesi and Moriconi (2009) experimental data, Young moduli of RACs are generally lower than those of NACs. The RAC elasticity modulus values $E$, deduced from
experimental programs performed on uniaxial compression tests (Lima et al. (2013), considering variable contents of aggregate replacements ((0%, 30%, 60% and 100%) and normalized respect to the corresponding NAC value $E_0$, are summarized in Fig. 1. Based on this, the following approximation is proposed to mathematically estimate the degraded RAC elasticity modules as a function of $E_0$

$$E = (1 - \gamma)E_0 \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = 0.005R_A$$

being $\gamma$ the damage induced by $R_A$, the substitution percentage which varies from 0 to 100 and $\gamma$ the damage induced by the $R_A$ content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixes</th>
<th>FA [%]</th>
<th>RA [%]</th>
<th>$f_{\text{rac}}^{\text{rac}}$ [MPa]</th>
<th>$f_{\text{rac}}^{\text{ac}}$ [MPa]</th>
<th>E [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-2-3-4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41.05</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>36000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32.76</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>32000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>26000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.19</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6-7-8</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42.61</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>34000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>28000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>24000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10-11-12</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35.47.61</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>32000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.72</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>26000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>26.27</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>24000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.76</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>18000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Mixes properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu$</th>
<th>Poisson coefficient</th>
<th>0.20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>Non-associativity coefficient</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_h$</td>
<td>Hardening law</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_h$</td>
<td>Hardening law</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_f$</td>
<td>Local softening law</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_f$</td>
<td>Local softening law</td>
<td>51.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_c$</td>
<td>Gradient softening law</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_c$</td>
<td>Gradient softening law</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_r$</td>
<td>Maximum crack opening in Mode I</td>
<td>0.127 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_l$</td>
<td>NAC fracture characteristic length</td>
<td>70.00 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_{cm}$</td>
<td>Maximum gradient characteristic length</td>
<td>70.00 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_{ca}$</td>
<td>Maximum aggregate size</td>
<td>18.00 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_{sc}$</td>
<td>Gradient softening parameter</td>
<td>1.00 MPa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Calibrated internal parameters.

### 3.2 Strength criterion for RAC

The following linear law is employed to mathematically estimate the degraded RAC uniaxial compressive strength $f_{\text{rac}}^{\text{rac}}$, as a function of $R_A$

$$f_{\text{rac}}^{\text{rac}} = (1 - \gamma)f_c' \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = 0.005R_A.$$
The resulting linear trend of normalized uniaxial compressive strengths of RACs is shown in Fig. 2. In the same way, it can be set for the uniaxial tensile strength the linear approximation law

\[ f_t^{\text{rac}} = (1 - \gamma) f_t' \quad . \]  

(12)

Based on the eqs. (11) and (12) it follows that the cohesive and frictional parameters \( c_0 \) and \( m_0 \) of eq. (3) become

\[ c_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m_0 = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{f_c^{\text{rac}} - f_t^{\text{rac}}}{f_c^{\text{rac}} f_t^{\text{rac}}} \right) \quad . \]  

(13)

3.3 Hardening/softening modifications of the LDP model extended to RAC

In pre-peak regime, the observed hardening response is almost unaffected by the presence of RAs substituting the natural ones and then, the same formulation adopted for NAC can be used.

Contrarily, the softening behavior of RAC is mainly affected by the presence of RA. Such a response is controlled through the softening formulation described in Subsection 2.4. The considered dissipative stress in softening \( K_s \) is computed as the additive contribution of a local (fracture energy-based) dissipative stress \( K_{sf} \) and a non-local gradient one \( K_{sc} \). The local softening dissipative stress is calculated as

\[ K_{sc} = \exp \left( -\frac{5 h_f}{u_r} \varepsilon_f \right) \quad , \quad \varepsilon_f = \| \langle m \rangle \| \lambda \]  

(14)

in terms of the fracture characteristic length \( h_f \), which defines the distance between cracks while \( u_r \) represents the maximum crack opening displacement in mode I. In quasi-brittle materials like concrete the distance between microcracks strongly depends on the type of fracture as well as on the acting confining pressure. Particularly, in mode I type of fracture no confining pressure exists and the microcracks lead to one single macrocrack. It follows that the fracture energy-based characteristic length approaches its maximum value (the specimen high \( h_t \)); i.e., \( h_f = \)
With increasing confining pressure under mode II type of failure the microcrack density increases and \( h_f \) tends to 0.

The present formulation oriented to RACs, considers that the variation of the fracture energy-based characteristic length from its maximum possible value \( h_{t}^{rac} \) is controlled by means of the normalized pressure-dependent function \( R_f(p^*) \)

\[
h_f(p^*) = \frac{h_{t}^{rac}}{R_f(p^*)}; \quad R_f(p^*) = \begin{cases} 1, & p^* \geq 0 \\ C_f + D_f \sin \left(2p^* - \frac{\pi}{2}\right), & -1.5 \leq p^* < 0 \\ 100, & p^* < -1.5 \end{cases}
\]

being \( C_f \) and \( D_f \) softening material parameters to be calibrated. The maximum value of the fracture energy-based characteristic length for RACs \( h_{t}^{rac} \) is calibrated by means of uniaxial compression tests for variable contents of RA. The following non-linear relationship between \( h_{t}^{rac} \) and \( h_{t} \) is established in terms of \( R_A \) for all mixes

\[
h_{t}^{rac} = C_h h_{t} \quad \text{with} \quad C_h = 1 - \gamma^2.
\]

The non-local gradient dissipative stress \( K_{sc} \) can be computed according to [Vrech and Etse (2009)].

4 NUMERICAL RESULTS AND COMPARISONS

In this section, the predictive capabilities of the proposed constitutive model to reproduce the mechanical behaviour of RACs with variable content of recycled aggregates in partial to total substitution of natural ones are verified. The attention focuses on the numerical simulation of experimental tests performed on RAC cubic specimens of \( 150 \times 150 \times 150 \) mm\(^3\) loaded under uniaxial compression ([Lima et al., 2013]). These experimental results are assumed as benchmark for validating the proposed numerical simulations. In the present analysis a dual-mixed finite element formulation proposed by [Svedberg (1999)] is considered. The axysymmetrical panel adopting the constant strain triangle (CST) elemental discretization and the adopted uniform
boundary conditions are schematized in Fig. 3. Due to the double symmetry of the problem, the FE discretization covers only one quarter of the concrete panel. Then, the compressive loads on the top of the FE mesh are introduced by means of uniform vertical nodal displacements. Material properties corresponding to the tested mixes are detailed in Table 1. The experimental specimens are also composed by three different concrete types, characterized by variable Fly-Ash (FA) percentages (32%, 88% and 128% as highlighted in Table 3) and different fractions of recycled aggregates (0%, 30%, 60% and 100%, see Table 1). General internal parameters adopted for these numerical analysis are summarized in Table 2.

Comparisons between experimental and numerical results in terms of axial stresses vs. axial strains for uniaxial compression tests are highlighted in Fig. 4 to 6. Particularly, those figures compare experimental results against numerical ones corresponding to Mixes 1-4, 5-8 and 9-12, respectively. From the numerical point of view, the presented results demonstrate the predictive capabilities of the fracture energy and gradient plasticity-based LDP model to reproduce the mechanical behavior of RACs under the uniaxial compression test and considering a wide range of concrete mixes. The decreasing uniaxial compressive strength with increasing volume fractions of RA is well reproduced in all the analyzed mixes. Moreover, good agreements between experimental and numerical results can be observed for initial elastic, hardening and softening regimes. The reduction of pre- and post-peak ductility with increasing RA content is also predicted.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The thermodynamically consistent gradient and fracture energy-based Leon - Drucker Prager constitutive model for quasi-brittle materials has been extended to predict the mechanical response of RACs with variable amounts of RA in partial to total substitution of natural ones. The damage induced by RA content coefficient was introduced to take into account the reduction of the fundamental properties when increasing values of RA percentages are considered. Internal parameters involved in hardening and softening laws were calibrated according to the experimental results performed at the Materials and Structural Testing Laboratory of the University.
of Salerno. Numerical analysis based on the proposed model was performed for uniaxial compression tests. The comparison between these results against the experimental ones highlights the predictive potential of the proposed formulation.
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7 APPENDIX: CONSIDERED EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

The constitutive formulation developed in this work has been validated through experimental data of a wide experimental campaign carried out on concretes composed by RA and Fly-Ash (FA) in partial substitution of natural aggregates (NAs) and Cement (C) respectively, conducted at the Materials and Structural Testing Laboratory of the University of Salerno and reported by Lima et al. (2013).

Table 3 describes the composition of such mixes. Particularly, the first column of the table denotes the different mixes, C and FA the content of cement and fly-ash respectively, in kg/m³. RA provides the percentage of recycled aggregates [%]. w and w_add deal with the available water for the chemical reaction and the "extra" quantity depending on the water absorption capacity of the employed aggregates, respectively, measured in kg/m³. Four fractions characterize both NA and RA in kg/m³: i.e. NA_3, NA_2, NA_1, RA_3, RA_2, RA_1 and sand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>w</th>
<th>w_add</th>
<th>NA_3</th>
<th>NA_2</th>
<th>NA_1</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>RA_3</th>
<th>RA_2</th>
<th>RA_1</th>
<th>Sand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>21.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>38.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>109.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>28.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>98.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.85</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>98.84</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Proportions of mix components.
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